

THE TOXICITY OF LEAF AND BARK OF *Thevetia peruviana* PLANT TO FINGERLINGS OF *Labeo rohita* (HAMILTON) IN DIFFERENT CONDITIONS

SUNIL KUMAR SINGH* and AJAY SINGH

Department of Zoology, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University,
Gorakhpur – 273 009 (U.P.), India

E-mail: sunil_shrinet@rediffmail.com; singhdr.sunilkumar@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The piscicidal activity of different organic solvent (i.e. acetone, diethyl ether, ethyl alcohol, chloroform and carbon tetrachloride) extracts from leaf and bark of *Thevetia peruviana* (Pers.) L. Schum. plant which is belong to Family: Apocynaceae against fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton) in laboratory and pond conditions was investigated. The LC₅₀ values of acetone leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant is 70.62 mg/L (24h) in laboratory condition and 380.49 mg/L (24h) in pond condition and bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant is 82.19 mg/L (24h) in laboratory condition and 424.09 mg/L (24h) in pond condition against fingerlings of *Labeo rohita*. Similar trend of toxicity was also observed in case of other solvents i.e. diethyl ether, ethyl alcohol, chloroform and carbon tetrachloride leaf and bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against the freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory and pond conditions. The toxicological actions of *Thevetia peruviana* plant may be due to the presence of apigenin-5-methyl ether (flavonoid) and triterpenoid glycosides. Although, at present very little literature is available on the control of fishes through plant origin pesticides, an attempt has been made in this paper to assemble all the known information on piscicidal properties of common medicinal plants of eastern Uttar Pradesh, India which might be useful for the control of harmful snails, mosquitoes and fishes.

Key words: *Thevetia peruviana*, *Labeo rohita*, solvents, fingerlings, piscicides

INTRODUCTION

A number of compounds (alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, tannins, alkenylphenols, di and triterpenoids etc.) present in several plants belonging to different families with piscicidal activities are used to control of fish (Singh & Singh, 2000; Tiwari & Singh, 2003 and Tiwari *et al.*, 2008). The toxicological actions of *Thevetia peruviana* plant may be due to the presence of apigenin-5-methyl ether (flavonoid) and triterpenoid glycosides (Voigtlander & Balsam, 1970; Graf 2000; Patel *et al.*, 2007). Plant extracts are referred to as botanicals and when poisonous to fish are called piscicides (Burkill 1985; Neuwinger 2004). Such piscicidal plants contain different active ingredients known as alkaloids such as resin, tannins, saponins, nicotine and diosgenin (Wang & Huffman, 1991). However, these alkaloids are toxic to fish at high concentrations and wear off within a short time (Kulakkattolickal 1987; Adewumi 1991). A large number of compounds of various classes that have

insecticidal, piscicidal and molluscicidal properties (Mohaptra & Nayak, 1998; Mohaptra & Sovan Sahu, 2000; Srivastava *et al.*, 2003; Singh & Singh 2003; Singh *et al.*, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2005; Singh & Singh 2005; Tiwari & Singh 2004; Singh & Singh 2005 and Singh *et al.*, 2010).

Application of synthetic pesticides is one of the methods used to control of fish population. Due to their long-term persistence, slow degradability in the water, toxicity to other organisms (Arasta *et al.*, 1996) and accumulation inside the fish body, synthetic piscicides adversely affect the aquatic environment (Cullen & Connell, 1992 and Waliszewski *et al.*, 1999). The Indian major carp of *Labeo rohita* was used as the test animal because it is present in almost all freshwater reservoirs in India and is suitable for toxicity monitoring (Ashraf *et al.*, 1992 and Sarvanan *et al.*, 2003).

In the present study the piscicidal activity of different organic solvents from leaf and bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant to test the toxic effects on freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory and pond conditions were investigated. Because non-target fish *Labeo rohita* is present in

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

almost all freshwater reservoirs in India and is suitable for toxicity monitoring.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Non-target animal: The freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* (2.15±0.2 cm in total length and 145 mg wet weight) was collected from the Government Hatcheries Centre Chappia, district Gorakhpur (U.P.), India. The fishes were stocked in pond containing 1000 L de-chlorinated tap water for acclimatization. Care was taken to remove the dead animals as soon as possible in order to prevent the decomposition of the body in the pond. The stocking cemented ponds are large (5' x 10' x 6' feet), while, the experimental cemented ponds are 5' x 5' x 6' feet in size.

Plant: Plant *Thevetia peruviana* (Family: Apocynaceae) was collected from Botanical Garden of D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India and identified at Plant taxonomist, Department of Botany, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, (U.P.), India, where a voucher specimen No. 1785 is deposited. The leaf and bark were obtained from this plant.

Extraction of active compounds: The fresh leaves and bark was dried 40°C over night and pulverized in a mortar and pestle. The dried powder of leaf and bark was extracted in Soxhlet apparatus, using 200 mL of five different organic solvents (i.e. acetone, diethyl ether, ethyl alcohol, chloroform and carbon tetrachloride) by the method of Jain (1965). The extracted material was dried through vacuum pump. The extracted dried powder was stored in airtight desiccators and used for further toxicity studies.

Toxicity experiments: Toxicity experiments were performed by the method of Singh and Agarwal (1988). Fifty experimental animals like fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* were kept in pond condition containing 50 L de-chlorinated tap water for 24h, 48h, 72h and 96h and ten experimental animals were kept in laboratory condition containing 10 L de-chlorinated tap water for 24h, 48h, 72 and 96h. These were exposed to four different concentrations of different solvent leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant (50, 60, 70, 80 mg/L in laboratory condition and 200, 300, 400, 500 mg/L in pond condition), respectively and four different concentrations of different solvent bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant (60, 70, 80, 90 mg/L in laboratory condition and 250, 350, 450, 550 mg/L in pond condition) for the fingerlings of *Labeo rohita*. Control groups were kept in de-chlorinated tap water without any treatment. Each set of experiments was replicated six times. Mortality was recorded after every 24h during the observation

period of 96h. The LC values were calculated by the probit log method of Robertson *et al.* (2007).

Experimental conditions of experimental water: Experimental conditions of water were determined in the beginning of the experiments by the method of APHA (2005). Atmospheric and water temperature was ranging from 30.5–31.5°C and 27.0–28.0°C, respectively, pH of water was 7.3–7.5, while dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide and bicarbonate alkalinity were ranging from 6.8–7.6 mg/L, 4.4–6.5 mg/L and 105.0–109.0 mg/L, respectively, during the experiments in laboratory condition.

In case of cemented pond condition the atmospheric and water temperature was ranging from 31.6–32.8°C and 28.0–29.0°C, respectively, pH of water was 7.5–7.6, while dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide and bicarbonate alkalinity were ranging from 7.2–8.3 mg/l, 5.4–7.5 mg/l and 108.0–113.0 mg/l, respectively, during the experiments.

RESULTS

In the present study different organic solvent of leaf and bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant was tested against freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita*. Fish mortality was used as a bioassay for monitoring the piscicidal activity. The LC values of the different organic solvent leaf and bark extracts of this plant for period 24h of fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* is shown in Table 1 to 4. The LC₅₀ of acetone leaf extracts for fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* is 70.62 mg/L (24h) in laboratory condition, respectively (Table 1) and 380.49 mg/L (24h) in pond condition, respectively (Table 2). Similar trend of toxicity was also observed in case of other different organic solvent (i.e. diethyl ether, ethyl alcohol, chloroform and carbon tetrachloride) leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against the fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory and pond condition, respectively (Table 1 and 2).

The LC₅₀ of acetone bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant for fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* is 82.19 mg/L (24h) in laboratory condition, respectively (Table 3) and 424.09 mg/L (24h) in pond condition, respectively (Table 4). Similar trend of toxicity was also observed in case of other different organic solvent bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against the fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory and pond condition, respectively (Table 3 and 4).

Both the plant parts were effective in killing the freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* at different concentrations. Bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* is the least effective plant parts against the fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory and pond conditions, respectively (Table 3 to 4). While

the leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant is the most effective plant parts against fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in both the conditions, respectively (Table 1 to 2).

Statistical analysis of the data on toxicity brings out several important points. The X² test for goodness of fit (Heterogeneity) demonstrated that the mortality counts were not found to be

significantly heterogeneous and other variables, e.g. resistance etc. do not significantly affect the LC₅₀ values, as these were found to lie within the 95% confidence limits. The dose mortality graphs exhibit steep values. The steepness of the slope line indicates that there is a large increase in the mortality of fingerlings of *Labeo* with relatively small increase in the concentration of the toxicant.

Table 1. Toxicity (LC_{10,50,90}) of different solvent leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory condition after 24 hour exposure period

| Solvents | Exposure period | Effective dose (mg/L) | Limits (mg/L) | | Slope value | 't' ratio | Heterogeneity |
|----------------------|-----------------|---|---------------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | LCL | UCL | | | |
| Acetone | 24h | LC ₁₀ =44.59 LC₅₀=70.62 LC ₉₀ =111.83 | 60.03 | 80.88 | 6.43±3.35 | 3.57 | 0.21 |
| Diethyl ether | 24h | LC ₁₀ =47.62 LC₅₀=75.87 LC ₉₀ =120.91 | 65.94 | 89.21 | 6.33±3.38 | 3.51 | 0.33 |
| Ethyl alcohol | 24h | LC ₁₀ =52.08 LC₅₀=77.11 LC ₉₀ =114.19 | 68.56 | 88.22 | 7.51±3.65 | 3.87 | 0.11 |
| Chloroform | 24h | LC ₁₀ =50.40 LC₅₀=80.45 LC ₉₀ =128.42 | 70.51 | 97.67 | 6.31±3.51 | 3.39 | 0.17 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24h | LC ₁₀ =49.49 LC₅₀=84.59 LC ₉₀ =144.58 | 73.20 | 114.72 | 5.50±3.47 | 3.01 | 0.09 |

- Batches of 10 fishes were exposed to four different concentrations of *Thevetia peruviana*.
- Concentrations given were the final concentrations (w/v) in laboratory conditions.
- LCL=Lower confidence limit; UCL=Upper confidence limit.

Table 2. Toxicity (LC_{10,50,90}) of different solvent leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in pond condition after 24 hour exposure period

| Solvents | Exposure period | Effective dose (mg/L) | Limits (mg/L) | | Slope value | 't' ratio | Heterogeneity |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | LCL | UCL | | | |
| Acetone | 24h | LC ₁₀ =175.09 LC₅₀=380.49 LC ₉₀ =826.86 | 266.07 | 475.70 | 3.80±2.69 | 3.70 | 0.23 |
| Diethyl ether | 24h | LC ₁₀ =181.75 LC₅₀=431.88 LC ₉₀ =1026.27 | 328.23 | 576.10 | 3.41±2.65 | 3.39 | 0.28 |
| Ethyl alcohol | 24h | LC ₁₀ =174.00 LC₅₀=485.54 LC ₉₀ =1354.90 | 362.16 | 786.45 | 2.88±2.60 | 2.93 | 0.36 |
| Chloroform | 24h | LC ₁₀ =215.88 LC₅₀=494.31 LC ₉₀ =1131.82 | 390.64 | 697.05 | 3.56±2.83 | 3.34 | 0.16 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24h | LC ₁₀ =209.43 LC₅₀=539.40 LC ₉₀ =1389.30 | 417.55 | 925.99 | 3.12±2.78 | 2.98 | 0.08 |

- Batches of 50 fishes were exposed to four different concentrations of *Thevetia peruviana*.
- Concentrations given were the final concentrations (w/v) in pond conditions.
- LCL=Lower confidence limit; UCL=Upper confidence limit.

Table 3. Toxicity (LC_{10,50,90}) of different solvent bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in laboratory condition after 24 hour exposure period

| Solvents | Exposure period | Effective dose (mg/L) | Limits (mg/L) | | Slope value | 't' ratio | Heterogeneity |
|----------------------|-----------------|---|---------------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | LCL | UCL | | | |
| Acetone | 24h | LC ₁₀ =59.25 LC₅₀=82.19 LC ₉₀ =114.05 | 73.89 | 90.85 | 9.02±4.21 | 4.12 | 0.58 |
| Diethyl ether | 24h | LC ₁₀ =57.32 LC₅₀=86.09 LC ₉₀ =129.30 | 76.18 | 99.16 | 7.25±3.97 | 3.52 | 0.31 |
| Ethyl alcohol | 24h | LC ₁₀ =54.79 LC₅₀=89.78 LC ₉₀ =147.12 | 78.04 | 112.60 | 5.98±3.85 | 2.99 | 0.27 |
| Chloroform | 24h | LC ₁₀ =60.12 LC₅₀=90.63 LC ₉₀ =136.61 | 80.74 | 107.46 | 7.19±4.11 | 3.39 | 0.16 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24h | LC ₁₀ =60.65 LC₅₀=92.20 LC ₉₀ =140.15 | 82.12 | 111.54 | 7.05±4.12 | 3.32 | 0.02 |

• Details as given in Table 1.

Table 4. Toxicity (LC_{10,50,90}) of different solvent bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant against freshwater non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* in pond condition after 24 hour exposure period

| Solvents | Exposure period | Effective dose (mg/L) | Limits (mg/L) | | Slope value | 't' ratio | Heterogeneity |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | LCL | UCL | | | |
| Acetone | 24h | LC ₁₀ =220.77 LC₅₀=424.09 LC ₉₀ =814.67 | 331.66 | 510.69 | 4.52±3.15 | 3.83 | 0.12 |
| Diethyl ether | 24h | LC ₁₀ =232.40 LC₅₀=459.55 LC ₉₀ =908.08 | 365.44 | 564.38 | 4.33±3.12 | 3.72 | 0.42 |
| Ethyl alcohol | 24h | LC ₁₀ =227.78 LC₅₀=485.59 LC ₉₀ =1035.20 | 382.33 | 624.66 | 3.89±3.07 | 3.42 | 0.25 |
| Chloroform | 24h | LC ₁₀ =223.12 LC₅₀=517.79 LC ₉₀ =1201.65 | 403.98 | 726.78 | 3.51±3.03 | 3.11 | 0.29 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24h | LC ₁₀ =266.96 LC₅₀=564.10 LC ₉₀ =1191.99 | 458.33 | 793.99 | 3.94±3.25 | 3.27 | 0.04 |

• Details as given in Table 2.

The slope is, thus an index of the susceptibility of the non-target animal to the plant origin pesticides used.

DISCUSSION

The present study, indicate that the organic solvent of leaf and bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant has high piscicidal activity in laboratory and pond conditions. The uptake of the active moiety of

extracts could be time dependent, leading to a progressive increase in the titer of the active ingredient and its effect in the fingerlings tissues, or the active moiety of extracts could be converted into more toxic metabolites in the body of the fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* resulting in a time dependent effect. The results of this study are similar to those of (Kulakkattolickal, 1989 and Chiayvareesajja *et al.*, 1997) who reported different tolerance limits of various aquatic organisms to various piscicides. Same result was also found in

case of karanj, *Pongamia pennata* seed on different fishes i.e. *Gudusia giuris*, *Chanda nama*, *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Mohapatra & Nayak, 1998 and Mohapatra & Sovan Sahu, 2000); *Maesa ramentacea* and *Sapindus emarginatus* are the most effective plants against the *Moina* sp. *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Anabas testudineus* (Chiayvareesajja *et al.*, 1997). The same result was also found in case of *Euphorbia royleana* against *Channa punctatus* (Singh & Singh, 2002; 2005) and *Euphorbia royleana* plant is most effective against *Channa punctatus* (Tiwari *et al.*, 2008).

In laboratory conditions, the LC₅₀ values of the tested plant against fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* was 70.62 mg/L (24h) in acetone leaf extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant and 82.19 mg/L (24h) in acetone bark extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* plant. In a pond conditions, the toxicity of *Thevetia peruviana* plant acetone leaf extracts was 380.49 mg/L (24h) and the toxicity of *Thevetia peruviana* plant acetone bark extracts was 424.09 mg/L (24h) against the non-target fingerlings of *Labeo rohita*.

Obviously the toxicity of tested plants was reduced under pond conditions. The reason for reduced toxicity could be sand particle adsorption (Dawson *et al.*, 1991) or acceleration of the toxicant degradation process by temperature. A similar trend was reported by Perchbacher & Sarkar (1992), in which the toxicity persistence of *Masea ramentacea* and tea seed cake was short and fish could be stocked into ponds 4 days after applying the pesticides. The potential for using *Masea ramentacea* as a substitute for tea seed cake for killing predatory fish in freshwater has been shown; however, the effective concentration must be determined against the predatory air-breathing fish, such as *Clarias* sp. *Ophicephalus striatus* and *Anabas testudineus* that are generally more tolerant of toxicants than other fishes (Perchbacher & Sarkar, 1992). The toxicological actions of *Thevetia peruviana* plant may be due to the presence of apigenin-5-methyl ether (flavonoid) and triterpenoid glycosides (Voigtlander & Balsam, 1970; Graf, 2000 and Patel *et al.*, 2007).

Statistical analysis of the data on toxicity brings out several important points. The X² test for goodness of fit (Heterogeneity) demonstrated that the mortality counts were not found to be significantly heterogeneous and other variables, e.g. resistance etc. do not significantly affect the LC₅₀ values, as these were found to lie within the 95% confidence limits. The dose mortality graphs exhibit steep values (Rand & Petrocelli, 1988). The steepness of the slope line indicates that there is a large increase in the mortality of fingerlings of *Labeo* with relatively small increase in the

concentration of the toxicant. The slope is, thus an index of the susceptibility of the non-target animal to the plant origin pesticides used.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the organic solvent of leaf and bark extracts of the tested plant may be used as potent source of piscicides. Because plant products are less expensive, easily available and easily soluble in water, they may be preferred over commercial pesticides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author (Sunil Kumar Singh) is thankful to Ministry of Science and Technology, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, Govt. of India, for award of the Fast Track Young Scientist Fellowship (No. SR/FT/L-44/2006 dated 18.06.2007) for financial assistance.

REFERENCES

- Adewumi, C.O. 1991. Plant molluscicides: Potential of Aridan, *Tetrapleura tetraptera* for schistosomiasis control in Nigeria. *The Science of Total Environment*, **102**: 21-33.
- APHA, 2005. Standard method for the examination of water and waste water. 16th Ed. APHA, Washington.
- Arasta, T., Bais, V.S. & Thakur, P. 1996. Effect of Nuvan on some biological parameters of Indian catfish, *Mystus vittatus*. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **17**: 167-169.
- Ashraf, M., Jafar, M. & Tariq, J. 1992. Annual variation of selected trace metals in freshwater lak fish *Labeo rohita*, as an index of environmental pollution. *Toxicology and Environmental Chemistry*, **35**: 1-7.
- Bourgeois, D.P., Gaudet, J., Deveau, P. & Maller, N. 1993. Micro extraction of organophosphorous pesticides from environmental water and analysis by gas chromatography. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, **50**: 433-440.
- Burkill, H.N. 1985. *The useful plants of West Africa* (Tropical) Ed. 2 Vol. I. Families A-D. Royal Botanical Garden, Kew.
- Chiayvareesajja, S., Chiayvareesajja, I., Rittibhobhun, J. & Wiriyachitra, P. 1997. The toxicity of five native Thai plants to aquatic organisms. *Asian Fisheries Science*, **9**: 261-267.

- Cullen, M.C. & Connell, D.W. 1992. Bioaccumulation of chlorohydrocarbon pesticides by fish in the natural environment. *Chemosphere*, **25**: 1579-1587.
- Dawson, V.K., Gingerichand, W.H., Davis, R.A. & Gilderhus, P.A. 1991. Rotenone persistence in freshwater ponds: effects of temperature and sediment adsorption. *North American Journal of Fish Management*, **11 (2)**: 226-231.
- Graf, J. 2000. Herbal anti-inflammatory agents for skin disease. *Skin Thermal Letter*, **5**: 3-5.
- Jain, M.K. 1965. Chemical examination of *Randiaulignosa*. *Current Science*, **34**: 505.
- Kulakkattolickal, A.T. 1987. Piscicidal plants to Nepal: primary toxicity screening using carp (*Genopharyngodon idella*) fingerlings. *Journal of Ethnopharmacol*, **21**: 1-9.
- Kulakkattolickal, A.T. 1989. Piscicidal plants of Nepal: Toxicity to air-breathing predatory fish (*Ophiocephalus punctatus*, *Clarias batrachus* and *Heteropneustes fossilis*) and the duration of risk to cultivated fish. *Aquaculture*, **78**: 285-292.
- Mohaptra, B.C. & Nayak, G.B. 1998. Assessment of toxicity of ripe fruit pulp of Hingan, *Balanites roxburghii*, on different fishes. *Journal of Aquaculture*, **6**: 19-21.
- Mohaptra, B.C. & Sovan Sahu, 2000. Toxicity of Karanj, *Pongamia pinnata* seed on different fishes. The 5th Indian Fisheries Forum. 17-20 January 2000. CIFA Bhubneshwar.
- Nair, J.R. & Sherief, P.M. 1998. Acute toxicity of phenol and long-term effects on food consumption and growth of juvenile rohu *Labeo rohita* (Ham.) under tropical conditions. *Asian Fisheries Science*, **10**: 179-187.
- Nayak, A.K., Raha, R. & Das, A.K. 1995. Organochlorine pesticides in middle stream of the Ganga River, India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, **54**: 68-76.
- Neuwinger, H.D. 2004. Review of plants used for poison fishing in tropical Africa. *Toxicon*, **44(4)**: 417-430.
- Park, D., Minor, M.D. & Propper, C.R. 2004. Toxic response of endosulfan to breeding and non-breeding female mosquito fish. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **25**: 119-124.
- Patel, D., Shukla, S. & Gupta, S. 2007. Apigenin and cancer chemoprevention: Progress, potential and promise (Review). *International Journal of Oncology*, **30**: 233-245.
- Perchbacher, P.W. & Sarkar, J. 1992. Toxicity of selected pesticides to the snakehead, *Channa punctatus*. *Asian Fisheries Science*, **2**: 249-254.
- Rand, G.M. & Petrocelli, S.R., 1988. *Fundamentals of aquatic toxicology*. Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, New York, 1129 pp.
- Robertson, J.L., Russell, R.M., Preisler, H.K. & Savin, N.E. 2007. *Bio-assays with arthropods: A POLO computer program* (Taylor and Frances) CRC Press, 1-224 pp.
- Saravanan, T.S., Mohamed, M.A., Chandrasekar, R. & Sundramoorthy, M. 2003. Freshwater fishes as indicators of Kaveri River pollution. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **24**: 381-389.
- Singh, A. & Agarwal, R.A. 1988. Possibility of using latex of euphorbiales for snail control. *The Science of Total Environment*, **77**: 231-236.
- Singh, A. & Singh, S.K. 2005. Molluscicidal evaluation of three common plants from India. *Fitoterapia*, **76**: 747-751.
- Singh, D. & Singh, A. 2000. The acute toxicity of plant origin pesticides in to the freshwater fish *Channa punctatus*. *Acta hydrochemica Hydrobiologica*, **28**: 92-94.
- Singh, D. & Singh, A. 2002. Piscicidal effect of some common plants of India commonly used in freshwater bodies against target animals. *Chemosphere*, **49**: 45-49.
- Singh, D. & Singh, A. 2005. The toxicity of four native Indian plants: Effect on AChE and acid/alkaline phosphatase level in fish *Channa marulius*. *Chemosphere*, **60**: 135-140.
- Singh, S.K. and Singh, A., 2003. Toxic effect of *Thevetia peruviana* and *Alstonia scholaris* lattices on the freshwater snail *Lymnaea acuminata*. *Iberus*, **22(2)**: 19-27.
- Singh, S.K., Yadav, R.P., Singh, D. & Singh, A. 2004. Toxic effect of two common Euphorbia lattices on the freshwater snail *Lymnaea acuminata*. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, **15**: 87-93.
- Singh, S.K., Yadav, R.P., Tiwari, S. & Singh, A. 2005. Toxic effect of stem bark and leaf of *Euphorbia hirta* plant against vector snail *Lymnaea acuminata*. *Chemosphere*, **59**: 263-270.
- Singh, S.K., Yadav, R.P. & Singh, A. 2010. Molluscicides from some common medicinal plants of Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, **30(1)**: 1-7.
- Srivastava, V.K., Singh, S.K., Rai, M. & Singh, A. 2003. Toxicity of *Nerium indicum* and *Euphorbia royleana* lattices against *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquito larvae. *Nigerian Journal of Medicinal and Natural Product*, **7**: 61-64.

- Tiwari, S. & Singh, A. 2003. Piscicidal activity of active compound extracted from *Euphorbia royleana* latex through different organic solvents. In: Proc First National interactive Meet on Med Aro Plants (A.K. Mathur, S. Dwivedi, D.D. Patra Eds), CIMAP Lucknow India, 330-336 pp.
- Tiwari, S. & Singh, A. 2004. Toxic and sub-lethal effects of olendrin on biochemical parameters of freshwater air breathing murrel, *Channa punctatus* (Bloch.). *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, **42**: 413-418.
- Tiwari, S., Pandey, R.P. & Singh, A. 2008. Effect of cycloart-24-en-3 α -ol from *Euphorbia royleana* latex on neuro-enzyme AChE and oxidative metabolism of freshwater fish *Channa punctatus*. *African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines*, **5(4)**: 332-339.
- Voigtlander, H.W. & Balsam, G. 1970. Apigenin-5-methyl ether ein neues Flavon aus, *Thevetia peruviana*. *Archives and Pharmacology*, (Weinheim) **303**: 792.
- Waliszewski, S.M., Aguirre, A.A., Benitez, A., Infanzon, R.M., Infazon, R. & Rivera, J. 1999. Organo-pesticides residues in Human blood serum of inhabitants of Veracruz, Mexico. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, **62**: 397-402.
- Wang, S. & Huffman, J.B. 1991. Botanochemicals: Supplements to petrochemicals. *Economic Botany*, **35**: 369-382.